

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0032740/http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMH0032740/>

PDQ Cancer Information Summaries [Internet].

Cannabis and Cannabinoids (PDQ®)

Health Professional Version

PDQ Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary Therapies Editorial Board.

Published online: May 27, 2016.

Created: March 16, 2011.

This PDQ [cancer](#) information summary for health professionals provides comprehensive, peer-reviewed, evidence-based information about the use of Cannabis and cannabinoids in the [treatment](#) of people with cancer. It is intended as a resource to inform and assist clinicians who care for cancer patients. It does not provide formal guidelines or recommendations for making health care decisions.

This summary is reviewed regularly and updated as necessary by the PDQ Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary [Therapies](#) Editorial Board, which is editorially independent of the National [Cancer](#) Institute (NCI). The summary reflects an independent review of the literature and does not represent a policy statement of NCI or the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

[Go to:](#)

Overview

This [cancer](#) information summary provides an overview of the use of [Cannabis](#) and its components as a [treatment](#) for people with [cancer](#)-related [symptoms](#) caused by the disease itself or its treatment.

This summary contains the following key information:

- *Cannabis* has been used for medicinal purposes for thousands of years.
- By federal law, the possession of *Cannabis* is illegal in the United States, except within approved research settings; however, a growing number of states, territories, and the District of Columbia have enacted laws to legalize its medical use.
- The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has not approved *Cannabis* as a [treatment](#) for [cancer](#) or any other medical condition.
- [Chemical](#) components of *Cannabis*, called [cannabinoids](#), [activate](#) specific [receptors](#) throughout the body to produce [pharmacologic](#) effects, particularly in the [central nervous system](#) and the [immune system](#).
- Commercially available cannabinoids, such as [dronabinol](#) and [nabilone](#), are approved drugs for the [treatment](#) of [cancer](#)-related [side effects](#).

- Cannabinoids may have benefits in the [treatment](#) of [cancer](#)-related side effects.

Many of the medical and scientific terms used in this summary are hypertext linked (at first use in each section) to the [NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms](#), which is oriented toward nonexperts. When a linked term is clicked, a definition will appear in a separate window.

Reference citations in some [PDQ cancer](#) information summaries may include links to external websites that are operated by individuals or organizations for the purpose of marketing or advocating the use of specific [treatments](#) or products. These reference citations are included for informational purposes only. Their inclusion should not be viewed as an endorsement of the content of the websites, or of any [treatment](#) or product, by the PDQ Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary [Therapies](#) Editorial Board or the [National Cancer Institute](#).

[Go to:](#)

General Information

[Cannabis](#), also known as [marijuana](#), originated in Central Asia but is grown worldwide today. In the United States, it is a controlled substance and is classified as a Schedule I [agent](#) (a [drug](#) with a high potential for abuse, and no currently accepted medical use). The *Cannabis* plant produces a [resin](#) containing psychoactive [compounds](#) called [cannabinoids](#), in addition to other [compounds](#) found in plants, such as [terpenes](#) and [flavonoids](#). The highest [concentration](#) of cannabinoids is found in the female flowers of the plant.[1] [Clinical trials](#) conducted on medicinal *Cannabis* are limited. The [U.S. Food and Drug Administration](#) (FDA) has not approved the use of *Cannabis* as a [treatment](#) for any medical [condition](#). To conduct [clinical](#) drug research with *Cannabis* in the United States, researchers must file an [Investigational New Drug](#) (IND) application with the FDA, obtain a Schedule I license from the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, and obtain approval from the National Institute on [Drug Abuse](#).

The potential benefits of medicinal *Cannabis* for people living with [cancer](#) include [antiemetic](#) effects, [appetite](#) stimulation, pain relief, and improved [sleep](#). Although few relevant surveys of practice patterns exist, it appears that [physicians](#) caring for [cancer](#) patients in the United States who recommend medicinal *Cannabis* do so predominantly for [symptom management](#). [2] A growing number of [pediatric](#) patients are seeking symptom relief with *Cannabis* or cannabinoid [treatment](#), although studies are limited. [3] The [American Academy of Pediatrics](#) has not endorsed *Cannabis* and cannabinoid use because of concerns about [brain](#) development.

Cannabinoids are a group of terpenophenolic [compounds](#) found in *Cannabis* species (e.g., *Cannabis sativa* L.). This summary will review the role of *Cannabis* and the cannabinoids in the [treatment](#) of people with [cancer](#) and disease-related or treatment-related [side effects](#).

References

1. Adams IB, Martin BR: Cannabis: pharmacology and toxicology in animals and humans. *Addiction* 91 (11): 1585-614, 1996. [\[PubMed\]](#)
2. Doblin RE, Kleiman MA: Marijuana as antiemetic medicine: a survey of oncologists' experiences and attitudes. *J Clin Oncol* 9 (7): 1314-9, 1991. [\[PubMed\]](#)
3. Sallan SE, Cronin C, Zelen M, et al.: Antiemetics in patients receiving chemotherapy for cancer: a randomized comparison of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and prochlorperazine. *N Engl J Med* 302 (3): 135-8, 1980. [\[PubMed\]](#)

In recent decades, the neurobiology of cannabinoids has been analyzed.[11-14] The first cannabinoid [receptor](#), CB1, was identified in the [brain](#) in 1988. A second cannabinoid [receptor](#), CB2, was identified in 1993. The highest expression of CB2 [receptors](#) is located on [B lymphocytes](#) and [natural killer cells](#), suggesting a possible role in [immunity](#). [Endogenous](#) cannabinoids (endocannabinoids) have been identified and appear to have a role in pain modulation, control of movement, feeding behavior, mood, [bone](#) growth, [inflammation](#), neuroprotection, and memory.[15]

Nabiximols (Sativex), a *Cannabis* extract with a 1:1 ratio of THC: CBD, is approved in Canada (under the Notice of Compliance with Conditions) for symptomatic relief of pain in advanced [cancer](#) and [multiple sclerosis](#). [16] Canada, New Zealand, and some countries in Europe also approve nabiximols for spasticity of [multiple sclerosis](#), a common symptom that may include [muscle](#) stiffness, reduced mobility, and pain, and for which existing [therapy](#) is unsatisfactory.

References

1. Abel EL: Marijuana, The First Twelve Thousand Years. New York: Plenum Press, 1980. [Also available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
2. Joy JE, Watson SJ, Benson JA, eds.: Marijuana and Medicine: Assessing the Science Base. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1999. [Also available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
3. Mack A, Joy J: Marijuana As Medicine? The Science Beyond the Controversy. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2001. [Also available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
4. Booth M: Cannabis: A History. New York, NY: St Martin's Press, 2003.
5. Russo EB, Jiang HE, Li X, et al.: Phytochemical and genetic analyses of ancient cannabis from Central Asia. *J Exp Bot* 59 (15): 4171-82, 2008. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
6. Schaffer Library of Drug Policy: The Marijuana Tax Act of 1937: Taxation of Marijuana. Washington, DC: House of Representatives, Committee on Ways and Means, 1937. [Available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
7. Sallan SE, Zinberg NE, Frei E 3rd: Antiemetic effect of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in patients receiving cancer chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med* 293 (16): 795-7, 1975. [\[PubMed\]](#)
8. Gorter R, Seefried M, Volberding P: Dronabinol effects on weight in patients with HIV infection. *AIDS* 6 (1): 127, 1992. [\[PubMed\]](#)
9. Beal JE, Olson R, Laubenstein L, et al.: Dronabinol as a treatment for anorexia associated with weight loss in patients with AIDS. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 10 (2): 89-97, 1995. [\[PubMed\]](#)
10. Adams R, Hunt M, Clark JH: Structure of cannabidiol: a product isolated from the marijuana extract of Minnesota wild hemp. *J Am Chem Soc* 62 (1): 196-200, 1940. [Also available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
11. Devane WA, Dysarz FA 3rd, Johnson MR, et al.: Determination and characterization of a cannabinoid receptor in rat brain. *Mol Pharmacol* 34 (5): 605-13, 1988. [\[PubMed\]](#)
12. Devane WA, Hanus L, Breuer A, et al.: Isolation and structure of a brain constituent that binds to the cannabinoid receptor. *Science* 258 (5090): 1946-9, 1992. [\[PubMed\]](#)
13. Pertwee RG, Howlett AC, Abood ME, et al.: International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXIX. Cannabinoid receptors and their ligands: beyond CB₁ and CB₂. *Pharmacol Rev* 62 (4): 588-631, 2010. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
14. Felder CC, Glass M: Cannabinoid receptors and their endogenous agonists. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 38: 179-200, 1998. [\[PubMed\]](#)
15. Pacher P, Bátkai S, Kunos G: The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacol Rev* 58 (3): 389-462, 2006. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)

16. Howard P, Twycross R, Shuster J, et al.: Cannabinoids. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 46 (1): 142-9, 2013. [\[PubMed\]](#)

[Go to:](#)

Laboratory/Animal/Preclinical Studies

[Cannabinoids](#) are a group of 21-carbon–containing terpenophenolic [compounds](#) produced uniquely by [Cannabis](#) species (e.g., *Cannabis sativa* L.).[\[1,2\]](#) These plant-derived [compounds](#) may be referred to as phytocannabinoids. Although delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is the primary psychoactive ingredient, other known compounds with biologic activity are cannabinal, cannabidiol (CBD), cannabichromene, cannabigerol, tetrahydrocannabivarin, and delta-8-THC. CBD, in particular, is thought to have [significant analgesic](#), [anti-inflammatory](#), and [anxiolytic](#) activity without the psychoactive effect (high) of delta-9-THC.

Antitumor Effects

One study in mice and rats suggested that cannabinoids may have a protective effect against the development of certain types of [tumors](#).[\[3\]](#) During this 2-year study, groups of mice and rats were given various [doses](#) of THC by [gavage](#). A dose-related decrease in the [incidence](#) of [hepatic adenoma tumors](#) and [hepatocellular carcinoma](#) (HCC) was observed in the mice. Decreased incidences of [benign tumors](#) ([polyps](#) and [adenomas](#)) in other [organs](#) ([mammary gland](#), [uterus](#), [pituitary](#), [testis](#), and [pancreas](#)) were also noted in the rats. In another study, delta-9-THC, delta-8-THC, and cannabinal were found to inhibit the growth of Lewis [lung adenocarcinoma cells in vitro](#) and [in vivo](#).[\[4\]](#) In addition, other tumors have been shown to be sensitive to cannabinoid-induced growth inhibition.[\[5-8\]](#)

Cannabinoids may cause [antitumor](#) effects by various mechanisms, including [induction](#) of [cell](#) death, inhibition of [cell](#) growth, and inhibition of [tumor angiogenesis](#) invasion and [metastasis](#).[\[9-12\]](#) Two reviews summarize the molecular mechanisms of action of cannabinoids as antitumor [agents](#).[\[13,14\]](#) Cannabinoids appear to kill [tumor cells](#) but do not affect their nontransformed counterparts and may even protect them from cell death. For example, these [compounds](#) have been shown to induce [apoptosis](#) in [glioma](#) cells in [culture](#) and induce [regression](#) of glioma [tumors](#) in mice and rats, while they protect normal glial cells of astroglial and oligodendroglial lineages from [apoptosis](#) mediated by the CB1 [receptor](#).[\[9\]](#)

The effects of delta-9-THC and a [synthetic agonist](#) of the CB2 [receptor](#) were investigated in HCC.[\[15\]](#) Both [agents](#) reduced the [viability](#) of HCC [cells in vitro](#) and demonstrated antitumor effects in HCC [subcutaneous xenografts](#) in nude mice. The investigations documented that the anti-HCC effects are mediated by way of the CB2 receptor. Similar to findings in glioma cells, the cannabinoids were shown to trigger [cell](#) death through stimulation of an [endoplasmic reticulum](#) stress pathway that activates [autophagy](#) and promotes [apoptosis](#). Other investigations have confirmed that CB1 and CB2 [receptors](#) may be potential targets in [non-small cell lung carcinoma](#) [\[16\]](#) and [breast cancer](#).[\[17\]](#)

An *in vitro* study of the effect of CBD on [programmed cell death](#) in [breast cancer cell lines](#) found that CBD induced programmed cell death, independent of the CB1, CB2, or vanilloid [receptors](#). CBD inhibited the survival of both [estrogen receptor–positive](#) and [estrogen receptor–negative](#) breast cancer cell lines, inducing [apoptosis](#) in a concentration-dependent manner while having little effect on

nontumorigenic [mammary cells](#).^[18] Other studies have also shown the antitumor effect of cannabinoids (i.e., CBD and THC) in preclinical models of breast cancer.^[19,20]

CBD has also been demonstrated to exert a [chemopreventive](#) effect in a [mouse model](#) of [colon cancer](#).^[21] In this [experimental system](#), [azoxymethane](#) increased [pre-malignant](#) and [malignant lesions](#) in the mouse [colon](#). Animals treated with azoxymethane and CBD concurrently were protected from developing pre-malignant and malignant [lesions](#). In *in vitro* experiments involving [colorectal cancer cell](#) lines, the investigators found that CBD protected [DNA](#) from oxidative damage, increased endocannabinoid levels, and reduced [cell proliferation](#). In a subsequent study, the investigators found that the antiproliferative effect of CBD was counteracted by selective CB1 but not CB2 [receptor antagonists](#), suggesting an involvement of CB1 [receptors](#).^[22]

Another investigation into the antitumor effects of CBD examined the role of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1).^[12] ICAM-1 expression has been reported to be negatively correlated with [cancer metastasis](#). In [lung cancer cell](#) lines, CBD upregulated ICAM-1, leading to decreased cancer cell invasiveness.

In an *in vivo* model using severe combined immunodeficient mice, [subcutaneous tumors](#) were generated by inoculating the animals with [cells](#) from human non-small [cell lung carcinoma](#) cell lines.^[23] [Tumor](#) growth was inhibited by 60% in THC-treated mice compared with vehicle-treated control mice. Tumor specimens revealed that THC had [antiangiogenic](#) and antiproliferative effects. However, research with [immunocompetent](#) murine tumor models has demonstrated [immunosuppression](#) and enhanced tumor growth in mice treated with THC.^[24,25]

In addition, both plant-derived and [endogenous](#) cannabinoids have been studied for anti-[inflammatory](#) effects. A mouse study demonstrated that [endogenous](#) cannabinoid system signaling is likely to provide intrinsic protection against colonic [inflammation](#).^[26] As a result, a [hypothesis](#) that phytocannabinoids and endocannabinoids may be useful in the risk reduction and [treatment](#) of [colorectal cancer](#) has been developed.^[27-30]

CBD may also enhance uptake of [cytotoxic](#) drugs into [malignant cells](#). Activation of the [transient receptor](#) potential vanilloid type 2 (TRPV2) has been shown to inhibit proliferation of human [glioblastoma multiforme](#) cells and overcome resistance to the [chemotherapy agent carmustine](#).^[31] One study showed that coadministration of THC and CBD over single-agent usage had greater antiproliferative activity in an *in vitro* study with multiple human glioblastoma multiforme [cell](#) lines.^[32] In an *in vitro* model, CBD increased TRPV2 activation and increased uptake of cytotoxic drugs, leading to [apoptosis](#) of glioma cells without affecting normal human [astrocytes](#). This suggests that coadministration of CBD with cytotoxic [agents](#) may increase drug uptake and potentiate cell death in human glioma cells. Also, CBD together with THC may enhance the antitumor activity of classic chemotherapeutic drugs such as [temozolomide](#) in some [mouse models](#) of [cancer](#).^[13,33]

Antiemetic Effects

Preclinical research suggests that emetic circuitry is tonically controlled by endocannabinoids. The antiemetic action of cannabinoids is believed to be mediated via interaction with the 5-hydroxytryptamine 3 (5-HT₃) [receptor](#). CB1 [receptors](#) and 5-HT₃ receptors are colocalized on gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-ergic [neurons](#), where they have opposite effects on GABA release.^[34] There also may be direct inhibition of 5-HT₃ gated ion currents through non-CB1 receptor pathways. CB1 receptor [antagonists](#) have been shown to elicit [emesis](#) in the least shrew that is reversed by cannabinoid agonists.^[35] The involvement of CB1 receptor in [emesis](#) prevention has been shown by the ability of CB1

antagonists to reverse the effects of THC and other [synthetic](#) cannabinoid CB1 agonists in suppressing [vomiting](#) caused by [cisplatin](#) in the house musk shrew and [lithium](#) chloride in the least shrew. In the latter model, CBD was also shown to be efficacious.[\[36,37\]](#)

Appetite Stimulation

Many [animal studies](#) have previously demonstrated that delta-9-THC and other cannabinoids have a stimulatory effect on [appetite](#) and increase food intake. It is believed that the [endogenous](#) cannabinoid system may serve as a regulator of feeding behavior. The endogenous cannabinoid anandamide potently enhances appetite in mice.[\[38\]](#) Moreover, CB1 [receptors](#) in the [hypothalamus](#) may be involved in the motivational or reward aspects of eating.[\[39\]](#)

Analgesia

Understanding the mechanism of cannabinoid-induced [analgesia](#) has been increased through the study of cannabinoid [receptors](#), endocannabinoids, and synthetic agonists and [antagonists](#). Cannabinoids produce analgesia through supraspinal, spinal, and [peripheral](#) modes of action, acting on both ascending and descending pain pathways.[\[40\]](#) The CB1 [receptor](#) is found in both the [central nervous system](#) (CNS) and in peripheral [nerve](#) terminals. Similar to [opioid](#) receptors, increased levels of the CB1 receptor are found in regions of the [brain](#) that regulate nociceptive processing.[\[41\]](#) CB2 receptors, located predominantly in peripheral [tissue](#), exist at very low levels in the CNS. With the development of receptor-specific antagonists, additional information about the roles of the receptors and [endogenous](#) cannabinoids in the modulation of pain has been obtained.[\[42,43\]](#)

Cannabinoids may also contribute to pain modulation through an anti-inflammatory mechanism; a CB2 effect with cannabinoids acting on [mast cell receptors](#) to attenuate the release of inflammatory [agents](#), such as [histamine](#) and [serotonin](#), and on keratinocytes to enhance the release of analgesic [opioids](#) has been described.[\[44-46\]](#) One study reported that the efficacy of synthetic CB1- and CB2-[receptor](#) agonists were comparable with the efficacy of [morphine](#) in a murine model of [tumor](#) pain.[\[47\]](#)

Cannabinoids have been shown to prevent [chemotherapy](#)-induced [neuropathy](#) in animal models exposed to [paclitaxel](#), [vincristine](#), or [cisplatin](#).[\[48-50\]](#)

Anxiety and Sleep

The endocannabinoid system is believed to be centrally involved in the regulation of mood and the extinction of aversive memories. Animal studies have shown CBD to have anxiolytic properties. It was shown in rats that these anxiolytic properties are mediated through unknown mechanisms.[\[51\]](#) Anxiolytic effects of CBD have been shown in several animal models.[\[52,53\]](#)

The endocannabinoid system has also been shown to play a key role in the modulation of the [sleep-waking](#) cycle in rats.[\[54,55\]](#)

References

1. Adams IB, Martin BR: Cannabis: pharmacology and toxicology in animals and humans. *Addiction* 91 (11): 1585-614, 1996. [\[PubMed\]](#)
2. Grotenhermen F, Russo E, eds.: Cannabis and Cannabinoids: Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Therapeutic Potential. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Press, 2002.

3. National Toxicology Program: NTP toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of 1-trans-delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol (CAS No. 1972-08-3) in F344 rats and B6C3F1 mice (gavage studies). Natl Toxicol Program Tech Rep Ser 446 (1): 1-317, 1996. [\[PubMed\]](#)
4. Bifulco M, Laezza C, Pisanti S, et al.: Cannabinoids and cancer: pros and cons of an antitumour strategy. Br J Pharmacol 148 (2): 123-35, 2006. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
5. Sánchez C, de Ceballos ML, Gomez del Pulgar T, et al.: Inhibition of glioma growth in vivo by selective activation of the CB(2) cannabinoid receptor. Cancer Res 61 (15): 5784-9, 2001. [\[PubMed\]](#)
6. McKallip RJ, Lombard C, Fisher M, et al.: Targeting CB2 cannabinoid receptors as a novel therapy to treat malignant lymphoblastic disease. Blood 100 (2): 627-34, 2002. [\[PubMed\]](#)
7. Casanova ML, Blázquez C, Martínez-Palacio J, et al.: Inhibition of skin tumor growth and angiogenesis in vivo by activation of cannabinoid receptors. J Clin Invest 111 (1): 43-50, 2003. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
8. Blázquez C, González-Feria L, Alvarez L, et al.: Cannabinoids inhibit the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway in gliomas. Cancer Res 64 (16): 5617-23, 2004. [\[PubMed\]](#)
9. Guzmán M: Cannabinoids: potential anticancer agents. Nat Rev Cancer 3 (10): 745-55, 2003. [\[PubMed\]](#)
10. Blázquez C, Casanova ML, Planas A, et al.: Inhibition of tumor angiogenesis by cannabinoids. FASEB J 17 (3): 529-31, 2003. [\[PubMed\]](#)
11. Vaccani A, Massi P, Colombo A, et al.: Cannabidiol inhibits human glioma cell migration through a cannabinoid receptor-independent mechanism. Br J Pharmacol 144 (8): 1032-6, 2005. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
12. Ramer R, Bublitz K, Freimuth N, et al.: Cannabidiol inhibits lung cancer cell invasion and metastasis via intercellular adhesion molecule-1. FASEB J 26 (4): 1535-48, 2012. [\[PubMed\]](#)
13. Velasco G, Sánchez C, Guzmán M: Towards the use of cannabinoids as antitumour agents. Nat Rev Cancer 12 (6): 436-44, 2012. [\[PubMed\]](#)
14. Cridge BJ, Rosengren RJ: Critical appraisal of the potential use of cannabinoids in cancer management. Cancer Manag Res 5: 301-13, 2013. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
15. Vara D, Salazar M, Olea-Herrero N, et al.: Anti-tumoral action of cannabinoids on hepatocellular carcinoma: role of AMPK-dependent activation of autophagy. Cell Death Differ 18 (7): 1099-111, 2011. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
16. Preet A, Qamri Z, Nasser MW, et al.: Cannabinoid receptors, CB1 and CB2, as novel targets for inhibition of non-small cell lung cancer growth and metastasis. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 4 (1): 65-75, 2011. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
17. Nasser MW, Qamri Z, Deol YS, et al.: Crosstalk between chemokine receptor CXCR4 and cannabinoid receptor CB2 in modulating breast cancer growth and invasion. PLoS One 6 (9): e23901, 2011. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
18. Shrivastava A, Kuzontkoski PM, Groopman JE, et al.: Cannabidiol induces programmed cell death in breast cancer cells by coordinating the cross-talk between apoptosis and autophagy. Mol Cancer Ther 10 (7): 1161-72, 2011. [\[PubMed\]](#)
19. Caffarel MM, Andradas C, Mira E, et al.: Cannabinoids reduce ErbB2-driven breast cancer progression through Akt inhibition. Mol Cancer 9: 196, 2010. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
20. McAllister SD, Murase R, Christian RT, et al.: Pathways mediating the effects of cannabidiol on the reduction of breast cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and metastasis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 129 (1): 37-47, 2011. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
21. Aviello G, Romano B, Borrelli F, et al.: Chemopreventive effect of the non-psychoactive phytocannabinoid cannabidiol on experimental colon cancer. J Mol Med (Berl) 90 (8): 925-34, 2012. [\[PubMed\]](#)

22. Romano B, Borrelli F, Pagano E, et al.: Inhibition of colon carcinogenesis by a standardized Cannabis sativa extract with high content of cannabidiol. *Phytomedicine* 21 (5): 631-9, 2014. [\[PubMed\]](#)
23. Preet A, Ganju RK, Groopman JE: Delta9-Tetrahydrocannabinol inhibits epithelial growth factor-induced lung cancer cell migration in vitro as well as its growth and metastasis in vivo. *Oncogene* 27 (3): 339-46, 2008. [\[PubMed\]](#)
24. Zhu LX, Sharma S, Stolina M, et al.: Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol inhibits antitumor immunity by a CB2 receptor-mediated, cytokine-dependent pathway. *J Immunol* 165 (1): 373-80, 2000. [\[PubMed\]](#)
25. McKallip RJ, Nagarkatti M, Nagarkatti PS: Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol enhances breast cancer growth and metastasis by suppression of the antitumor immune response. *J Immunol* 174 (6): 3281-9, 2005. [\[PubMed\]](#)
26. Massa F, Marsicano G, Hermann H, et al.: The endogenous cannabinoid system protects against colonic inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 113 (8): 1202-9, 2004. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
27. Patsos HA, Hicks DJ, Greenhough A, et al.: Cannabinoids and cancer: potential for colorectal cancer therapy. *Biochem Soc Trans* 33 (Pt 4): 712-4, 2005. [\[PubMed\]](#)
28. Liu WM, Fowler DW, Dalglish AG: Cannabis-derived substances in cancer therapy--an emerging anti-inflammatory role for the cannabinoids. *Curr Clin Pharmacol* 5 (4): 281-7, 2010. [\[PubMed\]](#)
29. Malfitano AM, Ciaglia E, Gangemi G, et al.: Update on the endocannabinoid system as an anticancer target. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 15 (3): 297-308, 2011. [\[PubMed\]](#)
30. Sarfaraz S, Adhami VM, Syed DN, et al.: Cannabinoids for cancer treatment: progress and promise. *Cancer Res* 68 (2): 339-42, 2008. [\[PubMed\]](#)
31. Nabissi M, Morelli MB, Santoni M, et al.: Triggering of the TRPV2 channel by cannabidiol sensitizes glioblastoma cells to cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agents. *Carcinogenesis* 34 (1): 48-57, 2013. [\[PubMed\]](#)
32. Marcu JP, Christian RT, Lau D, et al.: Cannabidiol enhances the inhibitory effects of delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol on human glioblastoma cell proliferation and survival. *Mol Cancer Ther* 9 (1): 180-9, 2010. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
33. Torres S, Lorente M, Rodríguez-Fornés F, et al.: A combined preclinical therapy of cannabinoids and temozolomide against glioma. *Mol Cancer Ther* 10 (1): 90-103, 2011. [\[PubMed\]](#)
34. Pacher P, Bátkai S, Kunos G: The endocannabinoid system as an emerging target of pharmacotherapy. *Pharmacol Rev* 58 (3): 389-462, 2006. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
35. Darmani NA: Delta(9)-tetrahydrocannabinol and synthetic cannabinoids prevent emesis produced by the cannabinoid CB(1) receptor antagonist/inverse agonist SR 141716A. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 24 (2): 198-203, 2001. [\[PubMed\]](#)
36. Darmani NA: Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol differentially suppresses cisplatin-induced emesis and indices of motor function via cannabinoid CB(1) receptors in the least shrew. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 69 (1-2): 239-49, 2001 May-Jun. [\[PubMed\]](#)
37. Parker LA, Kwiatkowska M, Burton P, et al.: Effect of cannabinoids on lithium-induced vomiting in the *Suncus murinus* (house musk shrew). *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 171 (2): 156-61, 2004. [\[PubMed\]](#)
38. Mechoulam R, Berry EM, Avraham Y, et al.: Endocannabinoids, feeding and suckling--from our perspective. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 30 (Suppl 1): S24-8, 2006. [\[PubMed\]](#)
39. Fride E, Bregman T, Kirkham TC: Endocannabinoids and food intake: newborn suckling and appetite regulation in adulthood. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 230 (4): 225-34, 2005. [\[PubMed\]](#)
40. Baker D, Pryce G, Giovannoni G, et al.: The therapeutic potential of cannabis. *Lancet Neurol* 2 (5): 291-8, 2003. [\[PubMed\]](#)

41. Walker JM, Hohmann AG, Martin WJ, et al.: The neurobiology of cannabinoid analgesia. *Life Sci* 65 (6-7): 665-73, 1999. [[PubMed](#)]
42. Meng ID, Manning BH, Martin WJ, et al.: An analgesia circuit activated by cannabinoids. *Nature* 395 (6700): 381-3, 1998. [[PubMed](#)]
43. Walker JM, Huang SM, Strangman NM, et al.: Pain modulation by release of the endogenous cannabinoid anandamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96 (21): 12198-203, 1999. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
44. Facci L, Dal Toso R, Romanello S, et al.: Mast cells express a peripheral cannabinoid receptor with differential sensitivity to anandamide and palmitoylethanolamide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 92 (8): 3376-80, 1995. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Ibrahim MM, Porreca F, Lai J, et al.: CB2 cannabinoid receptor activation produces antinociception by stimulating peripheral release of endogenous opioids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102 (8): 3093-8, 2005. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Richardson JD, Kilo S, Hargreaves KM: Cannabinoids reduce hyperalgesia and inflammation via interaction with peripheral CB1 receptors. *Pain* 75 (1): 111-9, 1998. [[PubMed](#)]
47. Khasabova IA, Gielissen J, Chandiramani A, et al.: CB1 and CB2 receptor agonists promote analgesia through synergy in a murine model of tumor pain. *Behav Pharmacol* 22 (5-6): 607-16, 2011. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Ward SJ, McAllister SD, Kawamura R, et al.: Cannabidiol inhibits paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain through 5-HT(1A) receptors without diminishing nervous system function or chemotherapy efficacy. *Br J Pharmacol* 171 (3): 636-45, 2014. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Rahn EJ, Makriyannis A, Hohmann AG: Activation of cannabinoid CB1 and CB2 receptors suppresses neuropathic nociception evoked by the chemotherapeutic agent vincristine in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 152 (5): 765-77, 2007. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
50. Khasabova IA, Khasabov S, Paz J, et al.: Cannabinoid type-1 receptor reduces pain and neurotoxicity produced by chemotherapy. *J Neurosci* 32 (20): 7091-101, 2012. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Campos AC, Guimarães FS: Involvement of 5HT1A receptors in the anxiolytic-like effects of cannabidiol injected into the dorsolateral periaqueductal gray of rats. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 199 (2): 223-30, 2008. [[PubMed](#)]
52. Crippa JA, Zuardi AW, Hallak JE: [Therapeutical use of the cannabinoids in psychiatry]. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr* 32 (Suppl 1): S56-66, 2010. [[PubMed](#)]
53. Guimarães FS, Chiaretti TM, Graeff FG, et al.: Antianxiety effect of cannabidiol in the elevated plus-maze. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 100 (4): 558-9, 1990. [[PubMed](#)]
54. Méndez-Díaz M, Caynas-Rojas S, Arteaga Santacruz V, et al.: Entopeduncular nucleus endocannabinoid system modulates sleep-waking cycle and mood in rats. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 107: 29-35, 2013. [[PubMed](#)]
55. Pava MJ, den Hartog CR, Blanco-Centurion C, et al.: Endocannabinoid modulation of cortical up-states and NREM sleep. *PLoS One* 9 (2): e88672, 2014. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

[Go to:](#)

Human/Clinical Studies

***Cannabis* Pharmacology**

When [oral Cannabis](#) is [ingested](#), there is a low (6%–20%) and variable [oral bioavailability](#).^[1,2] Peak [plasma](#) concentrations of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) occur after 1 to 6 hours and remain

elevated with a terminal half-life of 20 to 30 hours. Taken by [mouth](#), delta-9-THC is initially metabolized in the [liver](#) to 11-OH-THC, a potent psychoactive [metabolite](#). [Inhaled cannabinoids](#) are rapidly absorbed into the bloodstream with a peak [concentration](#) in 2 to 10 minutes, declining rapidly for a period of 30 minutes and with less generation of the psychoactive 11-OH metabolite.

Cannabinoids are known to interact with the [hepatic cytochrome P450 enzyme system](#).^[3,4] In one study, 24 [cancer](#) patients were treated with [intravenous irinotecan](#) (600 mg, n = 12) or [docetaxel](#) (180 mg, n = 12), followed 3 weeks later by the same [drugsconcomitant](#) with medicinal *Cannabis* taken in the form of an [herbal](#) tea for 15 consecutive days, starting 12 days before the second [treatment](#).^[4]

The [administration](#) of *Cannabis* did not significantly influence exposure to and clearance of [irinotecan](#) or [docetaxel](#), although the herbal tea route of administration may not reproduce the effects of inhalation or [oral ingestion](#) of [fat-soluble](#) cannabinoids.

Cancer Risk

A number of studies have yielded conflicting evidence regarding the risks of various [cancers](#) associated with *Cannabis* use.

A pooled analysis of three [case-cohort studies](#) of men in northwestern Africa (430 cases and 778 [controls](#)) showed a significantly increased risk of [lung cancer](#) among [tobacco](#) smokers who also inhaled *Cannabis*.^[5]

A large, [retrospective cohort study](#) of 64,855 men aged 15 to 49 years from the United States found that *Cannabis* use was not associated with tobacco-related [cancers](#) and a number of other common [malignancies](#). However, the study did find that, among nonsmokers of tobacco, ever having used *Cannabis* was associated with an increased risk of [prostate cancer](#).^[6]

A population-based [case-control study](#) of 611 [lung cancer](#) patients revealed that [chronic](#) low *Cannabis* exposure was not associated with an increased risk of lung cancer or other upper [aerodigestive tract cancers](#) and found no positive associations with any cancer type ([oral](#), [pharyngeal](#), [laryngeal](#), lung, or [esophagus](#)) when adjusting for several confounders, including cigarette smoking.^[7]

A [systematic review assessing](#) 19 studies that evaluated [pre-malignant](#) or [malignant](#) lung [lesions](#) in persons 18 years or older who inhaled *Cannabis* concluded that [observational studies](#) failed to demonstrate [statistically significant](#) associations between *Cannabis* inhalation and [lung cancer](#) after adjusting for tobacco use.^[8]

[Epidemiologic](#) studies examining one association of *Cannabis* use with [head and neck squamous cell carcinomas](#) have also been inconsistent in their findings. A pooled analysis of nine case-control studies from the U.S./Latin American International [Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology](#) (INHANCE) Consortium included information from 1,921 [oropharyngeal](#) cases, 356 [tongue](#) cases, and 7,639 controls. Compared with those who never smoked *Cannabis*, *Cannabis* smokers had an elevated risk of [oropharyngeal cancers](#) and a reduced risk of [tongue cancer](#). These study results both reflect the inconsistent effects of cannabinoids on cancer [incidence](#) noted in previous studies and suggest that more work needs to be done to understand the potential role of [human papillomavirus infection](#).^[9]

With a [hypothesis](#) that [chronic](#) marijuana use produces [adverse effects](#) on the human [endocrine](#) and [reproductive systems](#), the association between *Cannabis* use and incidence of [testicular germ cell tumors](#) (TGCTs) has been examined.^[10-12] Three population-based case-control studies reported an association between *Cannabis* use and elevated risk of TGCTs,

especially [nonseminoma](#) or mixed-[histology tumors](#).[\[10-12\]](#) However, the sample sizes in these studies were inadequate to address [Cannabis dose](#) by addressing associations with respect to recency, frequency, and duration of use. These early reports of [Cannabis](#) use and TGCTs established the need for larger, well-powered, [prospective studies](#), especially studies evaluating the role of endocannabinoid signaling and cannabinoid [receptors](#) in TGCTs.

An analysis of 84,170 participants in the California Men's Health Study was performed to investigate the association between [Cannabis](#) use and the incidence of [bladder cancer](#). During 16 years of follow-up, 89 [Cannabis](#) users (0.3%) developed [bladder cancer](#) compared with 190 (0.4%) of the men who did not report [Cannabis](#) use ($P < .001$). After adjusting for age, race, ethnicity, and [body mass index](#), [Cannabis](#) use was associated with a 45% reduction in bladder cancer incidence (hazard ratio, 0.55; 95% confidence interval, 0.33–1.00).[\[13\]](#)

A comprehensive Health Canada monograph on [marijuana](#) concluded that while there are many cellular and molecular studies that provide strong evidence that inhaled marijuana is [carcinogenic](#), the epidemiologic evidence of a link between marijuana use and [cancer](#) is still [inconclusive](#).[\[14\]](#)

Cancer Treatment

Clinical data in [pediatric](#) use is limited to a few [case reports](#).[\[15,16\]](#) No [clinical trials](#) of [Cannabis](#) as a [treatment](#) for [cancer](#) in humans were identified in a PubMed search; however, a single, small study of intratumoral [injection](#) of delta-9-THC in patients with [recurrent glioblastoma multiforme](#) reported potential antitumoral activity.[\[17,18\]](#) In a trial that is now closed, controlled human studies investigated [oral](#) cannabidiol (CBD) as a single [agent](#) for [solid tumors](#), using a 1:1 ratio of THC:CBD in a [Cannabis](#)-based medicinal extract oromucosal spray in conjunction with [temozolomide](#) in treating patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme ([GWCA1208 Part A \[NCT01812603\]](#)) and CBD as a treatment for [acute graft-versus-host disease](#) in patients who have undergone [allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation](#) ([NCT01596075](#)).

Antiemetic Effect

Cannabinoids

Despite advances in [pharmacologic](#) and nonpharmacologic management, [nausea](#) and [vomiting](#) (N/V) remain distressing [side effects](#) for [cancer](#) patients and their families. [Dronabinol](#), a [synthetically](#) produced delta-9-THC, was approved in the United States in 1986 as an [antiemetic](#) to be used in cancer [chemotherapy](#). [Nabilone](#), a synthetic derivative of delta-9-THC, was first approved in Canada in 1982 and is now also available in the United States.[\[19\]](#) Both [dronabinol](#) and [nabilone](#) have been approved by the [U.S. Food and Drug Administration](#) for the [treatment](#) of N/V associated with cancer [chemotherapy](#) in patients who have failed to [respond](#) to conventional antiemetic [therapy](#). Numerous [clinical](#) trials and meta-analyses have shown that dronabinol and nabilone are effective in the treatment of N/V induced by chemotherapy.[\[20-23\]](#) The [National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines](#) recommend cannabinoids as breakthrough treatment for chemotherapy-related N/V.

One [systematic review](#) studied 30 [randomized](#) comparisons of delta-9-THC preparations with [placebo](#) or other antiemetics from which data on [efficacy](#) and harm were available.[\[24\]](#) [Oral nabilone](#), oral [dronabinol](#), and [intramuscular](#) levonantradol (a synthetic [analog](#) of dronabinol) were tested. Inhaled [Cannabis](#) trials were not included. Among all 1,366 patients included in the review, cannabinoids were found to be more effective than the conventional

antiemetics [prochlorperazine](#), [metoclopramide](#), [chlorpromazine](#), [thiethylperazine](#), [haloperidol](#), [domperidone](#), and [alzapride](#). Cannabinoids, however, were not more effective for patients receiving very low or very high [emetogenic chemotherapy](#). Side effects included a feeling of being high, [euphoria](#), [sedation](#) or drowsiness, dizziness, [dysphoria](#) or [depression](#), [hallucinations](#), [paranoia](#), and [hypotension](#).^[24]

Another analysis of 15 [controlled studies](#) compared [nabilone](#) with placebo or available antiemetic drugs.^[25] Among 600 [cancer](#) patients, nabilone was found to be [superior](#) to [prochlorperazine](#), [domperidone](#), and [alzapride](#), with nabilone favored for continuous use.

(Refer to the [Cannabis](#) section in the PDQ summary on [Nausea and Vomiting](#) for more information.)

Cannabis

Ten trials have evaluated the efficacy of inhaled *Cannabis* in [chemotherapy](#)-induced N/V.^[26-29] In two of the studies, inhaled *Cannabis* was made available only after [dronabinol](#) failure. In the first trial, no antiemetic effect was achieved with marijuana in patients receiving [cyclophosphamide](#) or [doxorubicin](#),^[26] but in the second trial, a [statistically significant superior](#) antiemetic effect of inhaled *Cannabis* versus placebo was found among patients receiving high-dose [methotrexate](#).^[27] The third trial was a randomized, [double-blind](#), [placebo-controlled](#), cross-over trial involving 20 adults in which both inhaled marijuana and [oral](#) THC were evaluated. One-quarter of the patients reported a favorable antiemetic response to the cannabinoid [therapies](#). This latter study was reported in abstract form in 1984. A full report, detailing the methods and outcomes apparently has not been published, which limits a thorough interpretation of the significance of these findings.^[28]

Newer antiemetics (e.g., 5-hydroxytryptamine 3 [\[5-HT₃\] receptor antagonists](#)) have not been directly compared with *Cannabis* or cannabinoids in [cancer](#) patients. However, the *Cannabis*-extract oromucosal spray, nabiximols, formulated with 1:1 THC:CBD was shown in a small [pilot](#) randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded [clinical trial](#) in Spain to treat [chemotherapy](#)-related N/V.^[30] [[Level of evidence: 1iC](#)]

Appetite Stimulation

[Anorexia](#), early satiety, weight loss, and [cachexia](#) are problems experienced by [cancer](#) patients. Such patients are faced not only with the disfigurement associated with wasting but also with an inability to engage in the social interaction of meals.

Cannabinoids

Three [controlled trials](#) demonstrated that [oral](#) THC has variable effects on [appetite](#) stimulation and weight loss in patients with advanced [malignancies](#) and [human immunodeficiency virus](#) (HIV) [infection](#).^[25] One study evaluated the efficacy of [dronabinol](#) alone or with [megestrol acetate](#) compared with that of [megestrol](#) acetate alone for managing [cancer](#)-associated [anorexia](#).^[31] In this randomized, double-blind study of 469 adults with [advanced cancer](#) and weight loss, patients received 2.5 mg of oral THC twice daily, 800 mg of oral megestrol daily, or both. Appetite increased by 75% in the megestrol group and weight increased by 11%, compared with a 49% increase in appetite and a 3% increase in weight in the oral THC group after 8 to 11 weeks of [treatment](#). These two differences were statistically [significant](#). Furthermore, the combined [therapy](#) did not offer additional benefits beyond those provided by megestrol acetate alone. The authors concluded that dronabinol did little to promote appetite or weight gain in advanced cancer patients compared with megestrol acetate. However, a smaller, placebo-controlled trial

of dronabinol in cancer patients demonstrated improved and enhanced chemosensory perception in the cannabinoid group—food tasted better, appetite increased, and the proportion of [calories](#) consumed as [protein](#) was greater than in the placebo recipients.[32]

In a [randomized clinical trial](#), researchers compared the safety and effectiveness of orally administered *Cannabis* extract (2.5 mg THC and 1 mg CBD), THC (2.5 mg), or placebo for the [treatment](#) of [cancer](#)-related [anorexia](#)-cachexia in 243 patients with advanced cancer who received treatment twice daily for 6 weeks. Results demonstrated that although these [agents](#) were well tolerated by these patients, no differences were observed in patient appetite or [quality of life](#) among the three groups at this dose level and duration of [intervention](#).[33]

Another [clinical trial](#) that involved 139 patients with HIV or [AIDS](#) and weight loss found that, compared with placebo, [oraldronabinol](#) was associated with a statistically significant increase in appetite after 4 to 6 weeks of [treatment](#). Patients receiving dronabinol tended to have weight stabilization, whereas patients receiving placebo continued to lose weight.[34]

Cannabis

In trials conducted in the 1980s that involved [healthy control](#) subjects, [inhaling](#) *Cannabis* led to an increase in [caloric intake](#), mainly in the form of between-meal snacks, with increased intakes of fatty and sweet foods.[35,36]

No published studies have explored the effect of inhaled *Cannabis* on appetite in [cancer](#) patients.

Analgesia

Cannabinoids

Pain management improves a patient's quality of life throughout all [stages](#) of [cancer](#). Through the study of cannabinoid [receptors](#), endocannabinoids, and synthetic agonists and [antagonists](#), the mechanisms of cannabinoid-induced [analgesia](#) have been analyzed.[37][[Level of evidence:1iC](#)] The CB1 [receptor](#) is found in the [central nervous system](#) (CNS) and in [peripheral nerve](#) terminals.[38] CB2 [receptors](#) are located mainly in peripheral [tissue](#) and are expressed in only low amounts in the CNS. Whereas only CB1 agonists exert [analgesic](#) activity in the CNS, both CB1 and CB2 agonists have analgesic activity in peripheral [tissue](#).[39,40]

[Cancer](#) pain results from [inflammation](#), invasion of [bone](#) or other pain-sensitive structures, or [nerve](#) injury. When cancer pain is severe and persistent, it is often resistant to [treatment](#) with [opioids](#).

Two studies examined the effects of [oral](#) delta-9-THC on [cancer](#) pain. The first, a double-blind placebo-[controlled study](#) involving ten patients, measured both pain intensity and pain relief.[41] It was reported that 15 mg and 20 mg doses of the cannabinoid delta-9-THC were associated with substantial analgesic effects, with antiemetic effects and appetite stimulation.

In a [follow-up](#), single-dose study involving 36 patients, it was reported that 10 mg doses of delta-9-THC produced analgesic effects during a 7-hour [observation](#) period that were comparable to 60 mg doses of [codeine](#), and 20 mg doses of delta-9-THC induced effects equivalent to 120 mg doses of codeine.[42] Higher doses of THC were found to be more [sedative](#) than codeine.

Another study examined the effects of a plant [extract](#) with controlled cannabinoid content in an oromucosal spray. In a multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, the THC:CBD nabiximols extract and THC extract alone were compared in the analgesic management of patients with advanced [cancer](#) and with moderate-to-severe cancer-related pain. Patients were assigned to one of three [treatment](#) groups: THC:CBD extract, THC extract, or placebo. The researchers concluded that the THC:CBD extract was efficacious for pain relief in advanced cancer patients whose pain was not fully relieved by strong opioids.[43] In a randomized, placebo-controlled, graded-dose trial, opioid-treated cancer patients with poorly controlled [chronic pain](#) demonstrated significantly better control of pain and [sleep](#) disruption with THC:CBD oromucosal spray at lower doses (1–4 and 6–10 sprays/day), compared with placebo. Adverse events were dose related, with only the high-dose group (11–16 sprays/day) comparing unfavorably with the placebo [arm](#). These studies provide promising evidence of an “adjuvant analgesic” effect of THC:CBD in this opioid-refractory patient population and may provide an opportunity to address this significant clinical challenge.[44] An open-label [extension](#) study of 43 patients who had participated in the [randomized trial](#) found that some patients continued to obtain relief of their cancer-related pain with long-term use of the THC:CBD oromucosal spray without increasing their dose of the spray or the dose of their other analgesics.[45]

A randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover pilot study of nabiximols in 16 patients with [chemotherapy](#)-induced neuropathic pain showed no significant difference between the [treatment](#) and placebo groups. A responder analysis, however, demonstrated that five patients reported a reduction in their pain of at least 2 points on an 11-point scale, suggesting that a larger follow-up study may be warranted.[46]

An [observational study](#) assessed the effectiveness of [nabilone](#) in advanced [cancer](#) patients who were experiencing pain and other [symptoms](#) ([anorexia](#), [depression](#), and [anxiety](#)). The researchers reported that patients who used nabilone experienced improved management of pain, [nausea](#), [anxiety](#), and [distress](#) when compared with untreated patients. Nabilone was also associated with a decreased use of opioids, [nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs](#), tricyclic [antidepressants](#), [gabapentin](#), [dexamethasone](#), [metoclopramide](#), and [ondansetron](#). [47]

Cannabis

[Animal studies](#) have suggested a [synergistic](#) analgesic effect when cannabinoids are combined with opioids. The results from one pharmacokinetic interaction study have been reported. In this study, 21 patients with [chronic](#) pain were administered vaporized *Cannabis* along with sustained-release [morphine](#) or [oxycodone](#) for 5 days.[48] The patients who received vaporized *Cannabis* and sustained-release [morphine](#) had a statistically significant decrease in their [mean](#) pain score over the 5-day period; those who received vaporized *Cannabis* and [oxycodone](#) did not. These findings should be verified by further studies before recommendations favoring such an approach are warranted in general clinical practice.

Neuropathic pain is a [symptom](#) [cancer](#) patients may experience, especially if treated with [platinum-based chemotherapy](#) or [taxanes](#). Two [randomized controlled trials](#) of inhaled *Cannabis* in patients with [peripheral neuropathy](#) or neuropathic pain of various etiologies found that pain was reduced in patients who received inhaled *Cannabis*, compared with those who received placebo.[49,50] Two additional trials of inhaled *Cannabis* have also demonstrated the benefit of *Cannabis* over placebo in HIV-associated neuropathic pain.[51,52]

Anxiety and Sleep

Cannabinoids

In a small pilot study of analgesia involving ten patients with [cancer](#) pain, secondary measures showed that 15 mg and 20 mg doses of the cannabinoid delta-9-THC were associated with [anxiolytic](#) effects.[41][[Level of evidence: 1iC](#)]

A small placebo-controlled study of [dronabinol](#) in [cancer](#) patients with [altered](#) chemosensory perception also noted increased quality of [sleep](#) and relaxation in THC-treated patients.[32][[Level of evidence: 1iC](#)]

Cannabis

Patients often experience mood elevation after exposure to *Cannabis*, depending on their previous experience. In a five-patient [case series](#) of inhaled *Cannabis* that examined analgesic effects in [chronic](#) pain, it was reported that patients who self-administered *Cannabis* had improved mood, improved sense of well-being, and less [anxiety](#).[\[53\]](#)

Another common effect of *Cannabis* is sleepiness. A small placebo-controlled study of [dronabinol](#) in [cancer](#) patients with altered chemosensory perception also noted increased quality of [sleep](#) and relaxation in THC-treated patients.[\[32\]](#)

Clinical Studies of Cannabis and Cannabinoids

Table 1. Clinical Studies of Cannabis^a

Reference Citation	Type of Study	Condition Treated	No. of Patients: Enrolled ; Treated; Control ^b	Strongest Benefit Reported ^c	Concurrent Therapy Used (Yes/No/Unknown) ^d	Level of Evidence Score ^e
[26]	RCT	CINV	8; 8; None	None	Unknown	1iC
[27]	RCT	CINV	15; 15; None	Decreased N/V	Unknown	1iiC
[30]	Pilot RCT	CINV	16; 7; 9	Decreased/delayed N/V	5-HT3 receptor antagonist s	1iC

CINV = [chemotherapy](#)-induced [nausea](#) and [vomiting](#); HIV = [human immunodeficiency virus](#); RCT = [randomized controlled trial](#); N/V = nausea and vomiting.

^aRefer to text and the [NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms](#) for additional information and definition of terms.

^bNumber of patients treated plus number of patient controls may not equal number of patients enrolled; number of patients enrolled equals number of patients initially recruited/considered by the researchers who conducted a

study; number of patients treated equals number of enrolled patients who were given the [treatment](#) being studied AND for whom results were reported.

^cStrongest evidence reported that the [treatment](#) under study has activity or otherwise improves the well-being of [cancer](#) patients.

^dConcurrent [therapy](#) for symptoms treated (not [cancer](#)).

^eFor information about [levels of evidence](#) analysis and an explanation of the level of evidence scores, refer to [Levels of Evidence for Human Studies of Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary Therapies](#).

Table 2. Clinical Studies of Cannabinoids^a

Reference Citation	Type of Study	Condition Treated	No. of Patients: Enrolled; Treated; Control ^b	Strongest Benefit Reported ^c	Concurrent Therapy Used (Yes/No/Unknown) ^d	Level of Evidence Score ^e
[31]	RCT	Cancer-associated anorexia	469; dronabinol 152, megestrol acetate 159, or both 158; none	Megestrol acetate provided superior anorexia palliation among advanced cancer patients compared with dronabinol alone	Unknown	1iC
[32]	Pilot RCT	Appetite	21; 11; 10	THC, compared with placebo, improved and enhanced chemosensory perception	Unknown	1iC
[33]	RCT	Cancer-related anorexia-cachexia syndrome	243; Cannabis extract 95, THC 100; 48	No differences in patients' appetite or QoL were found	Unknown	1iC
[34]	RCT	Appetite	139; 72; 67	Increase in appetite	Unknown	1iC
[37]	Survey of RCTs	Pain		Decreased pain	Unknown	1iC
[41]	RCT	Pain	10; none; none	Pain relief	Unknown	1iC

Reference Citation	Type of Study	Condition Treated	No. of Patients: Enrolled; Treated; Control ^b	Strongest Benefit Reported ^c	Concurrent <u>T</u> herapy Used (Yes/No/Unknown) ^d	Level of Evidence Score ^e
[47]	Observational study	Pain	112; 47; 65	Decreased pain		

No. = number; QoL = [quality of life](#); RCT = [randomized controlled trial](#); THC = delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol.

^aRefer to text and the [NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms](#) for additional information and definition of terms.

^bNumber of patients treated plus number of patient controls may not equal number of patients enrolled; number of patients enrolled equals number of patients initially recruited/considered by the researchers who conducted a study; number of patients treated equals number of enrolled patients who were given the [treatment](#) being studied AND for whom results were reported.

^cStrongest evidence reported that the [treatment](#) under study has activity or otherwise improves the well-being of [cancer](#) patients.

^dConcurrent [therapy](#) for symptoms treated (not [cancer](#)).

^eFor information about levels of evidence analysis and an explanation of the level of evidence scores, refer to [Levels of Evidence for Human Studies of Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary Therapies](#).

Current Clinical Trials

Check the list of NCI-supported [cancer clinical trials](#) for integrative, alternative, and complementary [therapies](#) clinical trials on [dronabinol](#), [marijuana](#), [nabiximols](#), [nabilone](#) and [cannabidiol](#) that are actively enrolling patients.

General information about [clinical trials](#) is also available from the [NCI website](#).

References

1. Adams IB, Martin BR: Cannabis: pharmacology and toxicology in animals and humans. *Addiction* 91 (11): 1585-614, 1996. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Agurell S, Halldin M, Lindgren JE, et al.: Pharmacokinetics and metabolism of delta 1-tetrahydrocannabinol and other cannabinoids with emphasis on man. *Pharmacol Rev* 38 (1): 21-43, 1986. [[PubMed](#)]
3. Yamamoto I, Watanabe K, Narimatsu S, et al.: Recent advances in the metabolism of cannabinoids. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 27 (8): 741-6, 1995. [[PubMed](#)]

4. Engels FK, de Jong FA, Sparreboom A, et al.: Medicinal cannabis does not influence the clinical pharmacokinetics of irinotecan and docetaxel. *Oncologist* 12 (3): 291-300, 2007. [[PubMed](#)]
5. Berthiller J, Straif K, Boniol M, et al.: Cannabis smoking and risk of lung cancer in men: a pooled analysis of three studies in Maghreb. *J Thorac Oncol* 3 (12): 1398-403, 2008. [[PubMed](#)]
6. Sidney S, Quesenberry CP Jr, Friedman GD, et al.: Marijuana use and cancer incidence (California, United States). *Cancer Causes Control* 8 (5): 722-8, 1997. [[PubMed](#)]
7. Hashibe M, Morgenstern H, Cui Y, et al.: Marijuana use and the risk of lung and upper aerodigestive tract cancers: results of a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15 (10): 1829-34, 2006. [[PubMed](#)]
8. Mehra R, Moore BA, Crothers K, et al.: The association between marijuana smoking and lung cancer: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med* 166 (13): 1359-67, 2006. [[PubMed](#)]
9. Marks MA, Chaturvedi AK, Kelsey K, et al.: Association of marijuana smoking with oropharyngeal and oral tongue cancers: pooled analysis from the INHANCE consortium. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 23 (1): 160-71, 2014. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Daling JR, Doody DR, Sun X, et al.: Association of marijuana use and the incidence of testicular germ cell tumors. *Cancer* 115 (6): 1215-23, 2009. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Trabert B, Sigurdson AJ, Sweeney AM, et al.: Marijuana use and testicular germ cell tumors. *Cancer* 117 (4): 848-53, 2011. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Lacson JC, Carroll JD, Tuazon E, et al.: Population-based case-control study of recreational drug use and testis cancer risk confirms an association between marijuana use and nonseminoma risk. *Cancer* 118 (21): 5374-83, 2012. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Thomas AA, Wallner LP, Quinn VP, et al.: Association between cannabis use and the risk of bladder cancer: results from the California Men's Health Study. *Urology* 85 (2): 388-92, 2015. [[PubMed](#)]
14. Health Canada: Marihuana (Marijuana, Cannabis): Dried Plant for Administration by Ingestion or Other Means. Ottawa, Canada: Health Canada, 2010. [Available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
15. Singh Y, Bali C: Cannabis extract treatment for terminal acute lymphoblastic leukemia with a Philadelphia chromosome mutation. *Case Rep Oncol* 6 (3): 585-92, 2013. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Foroughi M, Hendson G, Sargent MA, et al.: Spontaneous regression of septum pellucidum/forniceal pilocytic astrocytomas--possible role of Cannabis inhalation. *Childs Nerv Syst* 27 (4): 671-9, 2011. [[PubMed](#)]
17. Guzmán M, Duarte MJ, Blázquez C, et al.: A pilot clinical study of Delta9-tetrahydrocannabinol in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. *Br J Cancer* 95 (2): 197-203, 2006. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
18. Velasco G, Sánchez C, Guzmán M: Towards the use of cannabinoids as antitumour agents. *Nat Rev Cancer* 12 (6): 436-44, 2012. [[PubMed](#)]
19. Sutton IR, Daeninck P: Cannabinoids in the management of intractable chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and cancer-related pain. *J Support Oncol* 4 (10): 531-5, 2006 Nov-Dec. [[PubMed](#)]
20. Ahmedzai S, Carlyle DL, Calder IT, et al.: Anti-emetic efficacy and toxicity of nabilone, a synthetic cannabinoid, in lung cancer chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer* 48 (5): 657-63, 1983. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Chan HS, Correia JA, MacLeod SM: Nabilone versus prochlorperazine for control of cancer chemotherapy-induced emesis in children: a double-blind, crossover trial. *Pediatrics* 79 (6): 946-52, 1987. [[PubMed](#)]

22. Johansson R, Kilkku P, Groenroos M: A double-blind, controlled trial of nabilone vs. prochlorperazine for refractory emesis induced by cancer chemotherapy. *Cancer Treat Rev* 9 (Suppl B): 25-33, 1982. [[PubMed](#)]
23. Niiranen A, Mattson K: A cross-over comparison of nabilone and prochlorperazine for emesis induced by cancer chemotherapy. *Am J Clin Oncol* 8 (4): 336-40, 1985. [[PubMed](#)]
24. Tramèr MR, Carroll D, Campbell FA, et al.: Cannabinoids for control of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting: quantitative systematic review. *BMJ* 323 (7303): 16-21, 2001. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
25. Ben Amar M: Cannabinoids in medicine: A review of their therapeutic potential. *J Ethnopharmacol* 105 (1-2): 1-25, 2006. [[PubMed](#)]
26. Chang AE, Shiling DJ, Stillman RC, et al.: A prospective evaluation of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol as an antiemetic in patients receiving adriamycin and cytoxan chemotherapy. *Cancer* 47 (7): 1746-51, 1981. [[PubMed](#)]
27. Chang AE, Shiling DJ, Stillman RC, et al.: Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol as an antiemetic in cancer patients receiving high-dose methotrexate. A prospective, randomized evaluation. *Ann Intern Med* 91 (6): 819-24, 1979. [[PubMed](#)]
28. Levitt M, Faiman C, Hawks R, et al.: Randomized double blind comparison of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and marijuana as chemotherapy antiemetics. [Abstract] *Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* 3: A-C354, 91, 1984.
29. Musty RE, Rossi R: Effects of smoked cannabis and oral delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol on nausea and emesis after cancer chemotherapy: a review of state clinical trials. *Journal of Cannabis Therapeutics* 1 (1): 29-56, 2001. [Also available online](#). Last accessed January 7, 2016.
30. Duran M, Pérez E, Abanades S, et al.: Preliminary efficacy and safety of an oromucosal standardized cannabis extract in chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 70 (5): 656-63, 2010. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Jatoi A, Windschitl HE, Loprinzi CL, et al.: Dronabinol versus megestrol acetate versus combination therapy for cancer-associated anorexia: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group study. *J Clin Oncol* 20 (2): 567-73, 2002. [[PubMed](#)]
32. Brisbois TD, de Kock IH, Watanabe SM, et al.: Delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol may palliate altered chemosensory perception in cancer patients: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot trial. *Ann Oncol* 22 (9): 2086-93, 2011. [[PubMed](#)]
33. Strasser F, Luftner D, Possinger K, et al.: Comparison of orally administered cannabis extract and delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol in treating patients with cancer-related anorexia-cachexia syndrome: a multicenter, phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial from the Cannabis-In-Cachexia-Study-Group. *J Clin Oncol* 24 (21): 3394-400, 2006. [[PubMed](#)]
34. Beal JE, Olson R, Laubenstein L, et al.: Dronabinol as a treatment for anorexia associated with weight loss in patients with AIDS. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 10 (2): 89-97, 1995. [[PubMed](#)]
35. Foltin RW, Brady JV, Fischman MW: Behavioral analysis of marijuana effects on food intake in humans. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 25 (3): 577-82, 1986. [[PubMed](#)]
36. Foltin RW, Fischman MW, Byrne MF: Effects of smoked marijuana on food intake and body weight of humans living in a residential laboratory. *Appetite* 11 (1): 1-14, 1988. [[PubMed](#)]
37. Aggarwal SK: Cannabinergic pain medicine: a concise clinical primer and survey of randomized-controlled trial results. *Clin J Pain* 29 (2): 162-71, 2013. [[PubMed](#)]
38. Walker JM, Hohmann AG, Martin WJ, et al.: The neurobiology of cannabinoid analgesia. *Life Sci* 65 (6-7): 665-73, 1999. [[PubMed](#)]
39. Calignano A, La Rana G, Giuffrida A, et al.: Control of pain initiation by endogenous cannabinoids. *Nature* 394 (6690): 277-81, 1998. [[PubMed](#)]
40. Fields HL, Meng ID: Watching the pot boil. *Nat Med* 4 (9): 1008-9, 1998. [[PubMed](#)]

41. Noyes R Jr, Brunk SF, Baram DA, et al.: Analgesic effect of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol. J Clin Pharmacol 15 (2-3): 139-43, 1975 Feb-Mar. [\[PubMed\]](#)
42. Noyes R Jr, Brunk SF, Avery DA, et al.: The analgesic properties of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol and codeine. Clin Pharmacol Ther 18 (1): 84-9, 1975. [\[PubMed\]](#)
43. Johnson JR, Burnell-Nugent M, Lossignol D, et al.: Multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study of the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of THC:CBD extract and THC extract in patients with intractable cancer-related pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 39 (2): 167-79, 2010. [\[PubMed\]](#)
44. Portenoy RK, Ganae-Motan ED, Allende S, et al.: Nabiximols for opioid-treated cancer patients with poorly-controlled chronic pain: a randomized, placebo-controlled, graded-dose trial. J Pain 13 (5): 438-49, 2012. [\[PubMed\]](#)
45. Johnson JR, Lossignol D, Burnell-Nugent M, et al.: An open-label extension study to investigate the long-term safety and tolerability of THC/CBD oromucosal spray and oromucosal THC spray in patients with terminal cancer-related pain refractory to strong opioid analgesics. J Pain Symptom Manage 46 (2): 207-18, 2013. [\[PubMed\]](#)
46. Lynch ME, Cesar-Rittenberg P, Hohmann AG: A double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover pilot trial with extension using an oral mucosal cannabinoid extract for treatment of chemotherapy-induced neuropathic pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 47 (1): 166-73, 2014. [\[PubMed\]](#)
47. Maida V, Ennis M, Irani S, et al.: Adjunctive nabilone in cancer pain and symptom management: a prospective observational study using propensity scoring. J Support Oncol 6 (3): 119-24, 2008. [\[PubMed\]](#)
48. Abrams DI, Couey P, Shade SB, et al.: Cannabinoid-opioid interaction in chronic pain. Clin Pharmacol Ther 90 (6): 844-51, 2011. [\[PubMed\]](#)
49. Wilsey B, Marcotte T, Deutsch R, et al.: Low-dose vaporized cannabis significantly improves neuropathic pain. J Pain 14 (2): 136-48, 2013. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
50. Wilsey B, Marcotte T, Tsodikov A, et al.: A randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover trial of cannabis cigarettes in neuropathic pain. J Pain 9 (6): 506-21, 2008. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
51. Abrams DI, Jay CA, Shade SB, et al.: Cannabis in painful HIV-associated sensory neuropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Neurology 68 (7): 515-21, 2007. [\[PubMed\]](#)
52. Ellis RJ, Toperoff W, Vaida F, et al.: Smoked medicinal cannabis for neuropathic pain in HIV: a randomized, crossover clinical trial. Neuropsychopharmacology 34 (3): 672-80, 2009. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
53. Noyes R Jr, Baram DA: Cannabis analgesia. Compr Psychiatry 15 (6): 531-5, 1974 Nov-Dec. [\[PubMed\]](#)

[Go to:](#)

Adverse Effects

Cannabis and Cannabinoids

Because [cannabinoid receptors](#), unlike opioid receptors, are not located in the [brainstem](#) areas controlling respiration, lethal overdoses from [Cannabis](#) and cannabinoids do not occur.^[1-4] However, cannabinoid receptors are present in other [tissues](#) throughout the body, not just in the [central nervous system](#), and [adverse effects](#) include [tachycardia](#), [hypotension](#), [conjunctival injection](#), bronchodilation, [muscle](#) relaxation, and decreased [gastrointestinal](#) motility.

Although cannabinoids are considered by some to be addictive drugs, their addictive potential is considerably lower than that of other [prescribed agents](#) or substances of abuse.[\[2,4\]](#) The [brain](#) develops a tolerance to cannabinoids.

Withdrawal [symptoms](#) such as irritability, [insomnia](#) with [sleep electroencephalogram](#) disturbance, restlessness, [hot flashes](#), and, rarely, [nausea](#) and [cramping](#) have been observed. However, these symptoms appear to be mild compared with withdrawal symptoms associated with opiates or [benzodiazepines](#), and the symptoms usually dissipate after a few days.

Unlike other commonly used drugs, cannabinoids are stored in [adipose tissue](#) and excreted at a low rate (half-life 1–3 days), so even abrupt cessation of cannabinoid intake is not associated with rapid declines in [plasma](#) concentrations that would precipitate severe or abrupt withdrawal symptoms or drug cravings.

Since *Cannabis* smoke contains many of the same components as [tobacco](#) smoke, there are valid concerns about the adverse [pulmonary](#) effects of [inhaled Cannabis](#). A [longitudinal study](#) in a noncancer population evaluated repeated measurements of [pulmonary](#) function over 20 years in 5,115 men and women whose smoking histories were known.[\[5\]](#) While tobacco exposure was associated with decreased pulmonary function, the investigators concluded that occasional and low-cumulative *Cannabis* use was not associated with adverse effects on pulmonary function (forced expiratory volume in the first second of expiration [FEV1] and forced vital capacity [FVC]).

References

1. Adams IB, Martin BR: Cannabis: pharmacology and toxicology in animals and humans. *Addiction* 91 (11): 1585-614, 1996. [\[PubMed\]](#)
2. Grotenhermen F, Russo E, eds.: Cannabis and Cannabinoids: Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Therapeutic Potential. Binghamton, NY: The Haworth Press, 2002.
3. Sutton IR, Daeninck P: Cannabinoids in the management of intractable chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting and cancer-related pain. *J Support Oncol* 4 (10): 531-5, 2006 Nov-Dec. [\[PubMed\]](#)
4. Guzmán M: Cannabinoids: potential anticancer agents. *Nat Rev Cancer* 3 (10): 745-55, 2003. [\[PubMed\]](#)
5. Pletcher MJ, Vittinghoff E, Kalhan R, et al.: Association between marijuana exposure and pulmonary function over 20 years. *JAMA* 307 (2): 173-81, 2012. [\[PMC free article\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)

[Go to:](#)

Summary of the Evidence for Cannabis and Cannabinoids

To assist readers in evaluating the results of human studies of integrative, alternative, and complementary [therapies](#) for people with [cancer](#), the strength of the evidence (i.e., the [levels of evidence](#)) associated with each type of [treatment](#) is provided whenever possible. To qualify for a level of evidence [analysis](#), a study must:

- Be published in a [peer-reviewed scientific journal](#).
- Report on [therapeutic outcome](#) or outcomes, such as [tumor response](#), improvement in survival, or measured improvement in [quality of life](#).
- Describe [clinical](#) findings in sufficient detail for a meaningful evaluation to be made.

Separate levels of evidence scores are assigned to qualifying human studies on the basis of statistical strength of the study design and scientific strength of the [treatment](#) outcomes (i.e., [endpoints](#)) measured. The resulting two scores are then combined to produce an overall score. An overall level of evidence score cannot be assigned to [cannabinoids](#) because there has been insufficient clinical research to date. For an explanation of possible scores and additional information about levels of evidence analysis of CAM [treatments](#) for people with [cancer](#), refer to [Levels of Evidence for Human Studies of Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary Therapies](#).

Cannabinoids

- Several [controlled clinical trials](#) have been performed, and [meta-analyses](#) of these support a beneficial effect of cannabinoids ([dronabinol](#) and [nabilone](#)) on [chemotherapy](#)-induced [nausea](#) and [vomiting](#) (N/V) compared with [placebo](#). Both [dronabinol](#) and nabilone are approved by the [U.S. Food and Drug Administration](#) for the [prevention](#) or [treatment](#) of [chemotherapy](#)-induced N/V in [cancer](#) patients but not for other [symptom management](#).

Cannabis

- There have been ten [clinical trials](#) on the use of [inhaled Cannabis](#) in [cancer](#) patients that can be divided into two groups. In one group, four small studies assessed [antiemetic](#) activity but each explored a different patient population and [chemotherapy regimen](#). One study demonstrated no effect, the second study showed a positive effect versus placebo, the report of the third study did not provide enough information to characterize the overall outcome as positive or neutral. Consequently, there are insufficient data to provide an overall level of evidence [assessment](#) for the use of [Cannabis](#) for chemotherapy-induced N/V. Apparently, there are no published controlled clinical trials on the use of inhaled [Cannabis](#) for other cancer-related or cancer [treatment](#)-related [symptoms](#).
- An increasing number of trials are evaluating the oromucosal [administration](#) of [Cannabis](#) plant [extract](#) with fixed concentrations of cannabinoid components, with national drug regulatory agencies in Canada and in some European countries that issue approval for [cancer](#) pain.
- At present, there is insufficient evidence to recommend [inhaling Cannabis](#) as a [treatment](#) for [cancer](#)-related symptoms or cancer treatment-related symptoms or cancer treatment-related [side effects](#); however, additional research is needed.

[Go to:](#)

Changes to This Summary (05/27/2016)

The [PDQ cancer](#) information summaries are reviewed regularly and updated as new information becomes available. This section describes the latest changes made to this summary as of the date above.

Editorial changes were made to this summary.

This summary is written and maintained by the [PDQ Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary Therapies Editorial Board](#), which is editorially independent of NCI. The summary reflects an independent

review of the literature and does not represent a policy statement of NCI or NIH. More information about summary policies and the role of the PDQ Editorial Boards in maintaining the PDQ summaries can be found on the [About This PDQ Summary](#) and [PDQ® - NCI's Comprehensive Cancer Database](#) pages.

[Go to:](#)

About This PDQ Summary

Purpose of This Summary

This PDQ [cancer](#) information summary for health professionals provides comprehensive, peer-reviewed, evidence-based information about the use of Cannabis and cannabinoids in the [treatment](#) of people with cancer. It is intended as a resource to inform and assist clinicians who care for cancer patients. It does not provide formal guidelines or recommendations for making health care decisions.

Reviewers and Updates

This summary is reviewed regularly and updated as necessary by the [PDQ Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary Therapies Editorial Board](#), which is editorially independent of the National [Cancer](#) Institute (NCI). The summary reflects an independent review of the literature and does not represent a policy statement of NCI or the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

Board members review recently published articles each month to determine whether an article should:

- be discussed at a meeting,
- be cited with text, or
- replace or update an existing article that is already cited.

Changes to the summaries are made through a consensus process in which Board members evaluate the strength of the evidence in the published articles and determine how the article should be included in the summary.

The lead reviewers for Cannabis and Cannabinoids are:

- Donald I. Abrams, MD (UCSF Osher Center for Integrative Medicine)
- Nagi B. Kumar, PhD, RD, FADA (Fellow of the American Dietetic Association)

Any comments or questions about the summary content should be submitted to [Cancer.gov](#) through the NCI website's [Email Us](#). Do not contact the individual Board Members with questions or comments about the summaries. Board members will not respond to individual inquiries.

Levels of Evidence

Some of the reference citations in this summary are accompanied by a level-of-evidence designation. These designations are intended to help readers assess the strength of the evidence supporting the use of specific [interventions](#) or approaches. The PDQ Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary [Therapies](#) Editorial Board uses a [formal evidence ranking system](#) in developing its level-of-evidence designations.

Permission to Use This Summary

PDQ is a registered trademark. Although the content of PDQ documents can be used freely as text, it cannot be identified as an NCI PDQ [cancer](#) information summary unless it is presented in its entirety and is regularly updated. However, an author would be permitted to write a sentence such as “NCI’s PDQ cancer information summary about [breast cancer prevention](#) states the risks succinctly: [include excerpt from the summary].”

The preferred citation for this PDQ summary is:

PDQ® Integrative, Alternative, and Complementary [Therapies](#) Editorial Board. PDQ Cannabis and Cannabinoids. Bethesda, MD: National [Cancer](#) Institute. Updated <MM/DD/YYYY>. Available at: <http://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/cam/hp/cannabis-pdq>. Accessed <MM/DD/YYYY>. [PMID: 26389198]

Images in this summary are used with permission of the author(s), artist, and/or publisher for use within the PDQ summaries only. Permission to use images outside the context of PDQ information must be obtained from the owner(s) and cannot be granted by the National [Cancer](#) Institute. Information about using the illustrations in this summary, along with many other cancer-related images, is available in [Visuals Online](#), a collection of over 2,000 scientific images.

Disclaimer

The information in these summaries should not be used as a basis for insurance reimbursement determinations. More information on insurance coverage is available on [Cancer.gov](#) on the [Managing Cancer Care](#) page.

Contact Us

More information about contacting us or receiving help with the [Cancer.gov](#) website can be found on our [Contact Us for Help](#) page. Questions can also be submitted to Cancer.gov through the website’s [Email Us](#).